



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

HENRI DUONG  
316 1/2 E GLENDON WAY  
ALHAMBRA CA 91801

COPY MAILED

OCT 16 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :  
Henri Duong :  
Application No. 10/725,226 : ON PETITION  
Filed: December 1, 2003 :  
Title: Back Driving Automatic Brake :  
System & Automatic Braking System :  
for Equipping in all Vehicles,  
Airplanes, Ships, Etc.

This is a decision on the paper filed August 18, 2006, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to withdraw the holding of abandonment, and the petition to revive the abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(a), filed September 28, 2006.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.181 is **DISMISSED**.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a)."

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to timely file a proper reply to the final Office action mailed December 30, 2005. This Office action set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. Applicant filed a response on January 23, 2006, but by Advisory Action mailed February 14, 2006, the Examiner advised Applicant that the response would not be entered because it failed to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant filed another response on February 17, 2006, but once again this reply failed to place the application in condition for allowance. No further reply with an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) having been received, the above-identified application became abandoned on March 31, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on August 7, 2006.

A grantable petition to revive an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(a) must be accompanied by (1) the reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(1); (3) a **showing** to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unavoidable; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) as required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(d). The instant petition lacks items (1) and (3).

Decisions on reviving abandoned applications on the basis of "unavoidable" delay have adopted the reasonably prudent person standard in determining if the delay was unavoidable:

The word 'unavoidable' . . . is applicable to ordinary human affairs, and requires no more or greater care or diligence than is generally used and observed by prudent and careful men in relation to their most important business. It permits them in the exercise of this care to rely upon the ordinary and trustworthy agencies of mail and telegraph, worthy and reliable employees, and such other means and instrumentalities as are usually employed in such important business. If unexpectedly, or through the unforeseen fault or imperfection of these agencies and instrumentalities, there occurs a failure, it may properly be said to be unavoidable, all other conditions of promptness in its rectification being present.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> In re Mattullath, 38 App. D.C. 497, 514-15 (1912) (quoting Ex parte Pratt, 1887 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 31, 32-33 (1887)); see also Winkler v. Ladd, 221 F. Supp. 550, 552, 138 USPQ 666, 167-68 (D.D.C. 1963), aff'd, 143 USPQ 172 (D.C. Cir. 1963); Ex parte Henrich, 1913 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 139, 141 (1913).

Moreover, a petition cannot be granted where a petitioner has failed to meet his or her burden of establishing that the delay was "unavoidable."<sup>2</sup>

As to requirement (3), petitioner has not met his burden of establishing that the delay was unavoidable.

37 CFR 1.135(b), the regulation relevant to the abandonment of this application, provides that (A) the admission of, or refusal to admit, any amendment after final rejection, or any related proceedings, will not operate to save the application from abandonment; and (B) the admission of, or refusal to admit, any amendment not responsive to the last action, or any related proceedings, will not operate to save the application from abandonment. It is the applicant's responsibility to take the necessary action in an application under a final Office action to provide a complete reply under 37 CFR 1.113. 37 CFR 1.116 and 1.135(b) are manifest that proceedings concerning an amendment after final rejection will not operate to avoid abandonment of the application in the absence of a timely and proper appeal.

Applicant should have ensured that the amendment had been entered or applicant should have filed either a Request for Continued Examination (RCE), continuing application, or Notice of Appeal. The last day an RCE, continuing application, or Notice of Appeal could have been filed, with the maximum allowed three month extension of time, would have been June 30, 20046

As to requirement (1), petitioner has not submitted a reply that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance (i.e. an RCE, continuing application, or Notice of Appeal).

On renewed petition, petitioner must submit a proper reply in response to the final Office action mailed December 30, 2005. The proposed reply to a final Office action required for consideration of a petition to revive must be either (1) a Notice of Appeal (and fee required by law); (2) an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance; (3) the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or if applicable, 1.53(d); or (4) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114.

If petitioner can not meet the showing required to establish unavoidable delay, petitioner may seek to revive the application

---

<sup>2</sup> Haines v. Quigg, 673 F. Supp. 314, 316-17, 5 USPQ2d 1130, 1131-32 (N.D. Ind. 1987).


under 37 CFR 1.137(b) - unintentional delay. A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m), currently \$750 for a small entity; (3) a **statement** that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(d).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:            Mail Stop Petitions  
                    Commissioner for Patents  
                    P.O. Box 1450  
                    Alexandria VA 22313-1450

By FAX:            (571)273-8300  
                    Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-3207.



Cliff Congo  
Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

Enc: PTO/SB/64 (2 pages)  
      Privacy Act Statement (1 page)

<b>PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)</b>	Docket Number (Optional)
<p>First named inventor:</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>Application No.:</p> <p>Filed:</p> <p>Title:</p> </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>Art Unit:</p> <p>Examiner:</p> </div> </div> <p>Attention: Office of Petitions  <b>Mail Stop Petition</b>          Commissioner for Patents          P.O. Box 1450          Alexandria, VA 22313-1450          FAX (571) 273-8300</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (571) 272-3282.</p> <p>The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION</b></p> <p>NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Petition fee;</li> <li>(2) Reply and/or issue fee;</li> <li>(3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee - required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and</li> <li>(4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.</li> </ol> <p>1. Petition fee</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Small entity-fee \$ _____ (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other than small entity – fee \$ _____ (37 CFR 1.17(m))</p> <p>2. Reply and/or fee</p> <p>A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of _____ (identify type of reply):</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> has been filed previously on _____.  <input type="checkbox"/> is enclosed herewith.       </p> <p>B. The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$ _____.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> has been paid previously on _____.  <input type="checkbox"/> is enclosed herewith.       </p>	

[Page 1 of 2]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

*If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.*

**3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee**

- ☐ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
- ☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for a small entity or \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).

- 4. STATEMENT:** The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]

**WARNING:**

Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application is available to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandoned application may also be available to the public if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the application file and therefore are not publicly available.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature\_\_\_\_\_  
Date\_\_\_\_\_  
Typed or printed name\_\_\_\_\_  
Registration Number, if applicable\_\_\_\_\_  
Address\_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Number\_\_\_\_\_  
AddressEnclosures: ☐ Fee Payment☐ Reply☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form☐ Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

☐ Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☐ Transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-8300.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature\_\_\_\_\_  
Typed or printed name of person signing certificate

## Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (*i.e.*, GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.